(b)(1)(b)(3)



NIE 13-8-67 4 April 1968

APPROVED FOR RELEASE DATE: MAY 2004

MEMORANDUM TO HOLDERS NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ESTIMATE

NUMBER 13-8-67

Communist China's Strategic Weapons Program

Handle Via Indicated Controls WARNING The sensitivity of this document requires that it be handled with maximum security precautions on a need-to-know basis. Recipients will insure that only personnel having all proper clearances and a needto-know will have access to this decument.

Submitted by

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Concurred in by the

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD

As indicated overleaf 4 April 1968

Authenticated:

Pages 4

142 Copy No.

TOP SECRE



The following intelligence organizations participated in the preparation of this estimate:

The Central Intelligence Agency and the intelligence organizations of the Departments of State and Defense, the AEC, and the NSA.

Concurring:

Vice Adm. Rufus Taylor, Deputy Director, Central Intelligence

Mr. George C. Denney, Jr., for the Director of Intelligence and Research, Department of State

Vice Adm. Vernon L. Lowrance, for the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency

Lt. Gen. Marshall S. Carter, the Director, National Security Agency

Dr. Charles H. Reichardt, for the Assistant General Manager, Atomic Energy Commission

Abstaining:

Mr. William O. Cregar, for the Assistant Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, the subject being outside of his jurisdiction.

WARNING

This document centains information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws U.S. Code Title 18, Sections 793, 794, and 798. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detriment of the United States. It is to be seen only by personnel especially indoctrinated and authorized to receive information in the designated control channels. Its security must be maintained in accordance with regulations pertaining to the

No action is to be taken on any

which may be contained herein, regardless of the advantage to be gained, it such action might have the effect of revealing the existence and nature of the source, unless such action is first approved by the appropriate authority.





COMMUNIST CHINA'S STRATEGIC WEAPONS PROGRAM

SCOPE NOTE

This memorandum discusses certain developments that bear on some of the important judgments in NIE 13-8-67, "Communist China's Strategic Weapons Program," dated 3 August 1967, TOP SECRET, ALL SOURCE. It is too early, however, to assess fully the significance of these developments. There is a fairly good chance that enough information will come to hand during the next several months to permit a comprehensive review of China's strategic weapons program, at which time NIE 13-8-68 will be produced.

THE ESTIMATE

CHINA'S INTERNAL SITUATION

- 1. In our last estimate we expressed doubt that the regime would be able to insulate China's nuclear and missile program from the disruptions of the Cultural Revolution. There is now good evidence that confusion and turmoil have spread to key organizations responsible for directing and implementing China's weapon development. Top officials in the National Defense Scientific and Technological Commission and the Academy of Sciences have come under political attack, and there have been cases of suicide by heads of scientific institutes.
- 2. In a January 1968 speech to representatives of national defense industries, research institutes, and schools, Premier Chou En-lai deplored the damage factional strife was causing military programs. Chou said that industrial elements were exceptionally faction-ridden and had "fought for a year and a half." He named and upbraided two Red Guard groups that have been identified as contending factions in the Seventh Ministry of Machine Building (missiles) and said that their Ministry had been particularly chaotic.

TOTALECTE			
detected some signs of such testing.			
system. Furthermore, we believe there is a good chance that we would have			
carried out such an important step in the development of their first large booster			
there was scarcely enough time (six months at the most) for the Chinese to have			
they wanted in that phase, are moving on to the next stage, possibly the construction of a prototype field site. We tend to rule out this explanation because			
before the new construction began, and, the Chinese having accomplished what			
likely is that some booster stages were successfully fired from Launch Complex B			
7. There are several possible explanations for these developments. The least			
indicates that some modification of the pad is under way.			
not concerned with having easy access to the father complex.			
seemed to indicate that the Chinese were not concerned with having easy access to the launch complex.			
possible to bring a missile to the gantry or launch pad by road,			
and structures and the excavation of a large pit cutting the rail line that had originally served the gantry at Complex B. Although it still would have been			
6. Since July 1967, the Chinese have been engaged in major additional construction around Launch Complex B. This includes construction of new buildings			
indicate either that major problems were indeed encountered or that there have been major changes in the basic program.			
major problems, the IOC would be later. A number of subsequent developments			
noted that this would be a tight schedule, and should the Chinese encounter			
for deployment in the early 1970's, and conceivably as early as 1970-1971. We			
the principal basis for our estimate that the Chinese could have an ICBM ready			
Test Range) capable of accommodating a missile in the ICBM or space booster category. The apparent readiness of this complex to support flight testing was			
a large launch facility (Launch Complex B at the Shuang-ch'eng-tzu Missile			
5. By the early part of 1967, it appeared that the Chinese had completed			
THE ICBM PROGRAM			
weapons program.			
uncertainties involved in estimating the status and prospects of China's strategic			
4. At this point we cannot go much beyond this very general judgment, except to point out that the confused political situation adds considerably to the			
too have suffered to some degree from the general erosion of economic efficiency and managerial control.			
on the missile and nuclear programs, there seems little reason to doubt that they			
there is evidence of production delays in certain other high priority military programs. Though we lack evidence			
testing have continued throughout the Cultural Revolution. On the other hand,			
have been. On the one hand, construction work, missile firings, and nuclear			
3. We have had difficulty in establishing how serious these disruptions may			



- 8. A more likely interpretation is that the Chinese have changed the plans for their ICBM program. Here again there are several possible explanations. There could have been overhasty and faulty planning of the launch complex itself, or the Chinese may have decided that the entire missile system was unsatisfactory and are now building a different launch facility for a new or modified ICBM system.
- 9. These hypotheses are only speculations at this point, however. It will probably be several months before the construction at Launch Complex B is far enough along to give a reasonably firm indication of what the Chinese have in mind and what it means for their ICBM program. All that can be said with much confidence at this point is that if the Chinese have not already flight tested boosters from Launch Complex B and have, in fact, altered their plans, then there has been slippage in the program. The original pad might be ready for use by mid-summer. We estimate, therefore, that China's ICBM program has been delayed by at least six months. Particularly in view of the major construction elsewhere within the Launch complex, we believe that a more reasonable estimate is that the delay will amount to a year or more. It was estimated in NIE 13-8-67 that the earliest conceivable date for the IOC of a Chinese ICBM was 1970. We now believe that the earliest possible date should be set at 1971.

10. In NIE 13-8-67 we estimated that, although the evidence respecting troop training was inconclusive and evidence of other preparations for deployment was lacking, the deployment of MRBM's was likely to begin "in the next six months or so." The Chinese had been working on an MRBM system for several years, and in late 1965 we began to see signs of accelerated activity at the range. In October 1966 the Chinese tested what was apparently a missile-delivered nuclear device. Though the device had a low yield and was apparently quite inefficient, we concluded that the Chinese could, if they wished, use the design for MRBM warheads. Then in May and June of 1967, appeared to be a concentration of firings, and photography indicated a high level of activity at the range. This suggested that the MRBM program might have reached the troop training stage. These were the principal factors on which we based our estimate of the possible timing of MRBM deployment. 11. Since then the intensive search has continued; although there are a number of unidentified construction projects that bear watching, we have been unable to identify any of these as MRBM field sites under preparation. The apparent failure to begin deployment could indicate continuing problems with the first system, or, alternatively, it could reflect a decision to proceed with the development of a new and improved system. It is even possible that their deployment schedule may be influenced by their desire for an improved warhead. We still believe the Chinese intend an early deployment of an MRBM system; but in view of all the uncertainties, including the possible disruptions	THE MRBM PROGRAM		•
appeared to be a concentration of firings, and photography indicated a high level of activity at the range. This suggested that the MRBM program might have reached the troop training stage. These were the principal factors on which we based our estimate of the possible timing of MRBM deployment. 11. Since then the intensive search has continued; although there are a number of unidentified construction projects that bear watching, we have been unable to identify any of these as MRBM field sites under preparation. The apparent failure to begin deployment could indicate continuing problems with the first system, or, alternatively, it could reflect a decision to proceed with the development of a new and improved system. It is even possible that their deployment schedule may be influenced by their desire for an improved warhead. We still believe the Chinese intend an early deployment of an MRBM	training was inconclusive and eviden- was lacking, the deployment of MRB months or so." The Chinese had been years, and in late 1965 we began to see In October 1966 the Chinese tested nuclear device. Though the device h	ce of other presents was likely working on an lesigns of acceler what was appared a low yield a	parations for deployment to begin "in the next six MRBM system for several ated activity at the range. rently a missile-delivered and was apparently quite
indicated a high level of activity at the range. This suggested that the MRBM program might have reached the troop training stage. These were the principal factors on which we based our estimate of the possible timing of MRBM deployment. 11. Since then the intensive search has continued; although there are a number of unidentified construction projects that bear watching, we have been unable to identify any of these as MRBM field sites under preparation. The apparent failure to begin deployment could indicate continuing problems with the first system, or, alternatively, it could reflect a decision to proceed with the development of a new and improved system. It is even possible that their deployment schedule may be influenced by their desire for an improved warhead. We still believe the Chinese intend an early deployment of an MRBM			
there are a number of unidentified construction projects that bear watching, we have been unable to identify any of these as MRBM field sites under preparation. The apparent failure to begin deployment could indicate continuing problems with the first system, or, alternatively, it could reflect a decision to proceed with the development of a new and improved system. It is even possible that their deployment schedule may be influenced by their desire for an improved warhead. We still believe the Chinese intend an early deployment of an MRBM	indicated a high level of active MRBM program might have reached	rity at the range. the troop trainin	This suggested that the g stage. These were the
	there are a number of unidentified of we have been unable to identify any of tion. The apparent failure to begin problems with the first system, or, al proceed with the development of a new that their deployment schedule may be warhead. We still believe the Chinese	construction project these as MRBM of deployment contentively, it contenties and improved so influenced by the intend an early	field sites under prepara- ould indicate continuing ould reflect a decision to ystem. It is even possible eir desire for an improved deployment of an MRBM

TODSECDET	
TOP SECRET	

of the Cultural Revolution, the time frame estimated for such deployment should be extended anywhere from 6 to 18 months.

CHINA'S NUCLEAR TESTING

12. China's seventh nuclear test, which was conducted on 24 December 1967,			
probably was aimed at reducing the size and weight of a thermonuclear weapon.			
The device used the same nuclear materials (U-235, U-238, and lithium deuteride)			
as were used in China's three previous thermonuclear tests,			
Union a serial cilenes concerning the test and the indications			
Peking's official silence concerning the test and the indications			
of the Lop Nor test area that			
also point toward failure. The Chinese had been			
making rapid progress since their first thermonuclear experiment in May 1966,			
and, though the recent failure has delayed the program, the setback may be only			
a minor one.			

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

DISSEMINATION NOTICE

- 1. This document was disseminated by the Central Intelligence Agency. This copy is for the information and use of the recipient and of persons under his jurisdiction on a need-to-know basis. Additional essential dissemination may be authorized by the following officials within their respective departments:
 - a. Director of Intelligence and Research, for the Department of State
 - b. Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, for the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the organization of the Joint Chiefs of Staff
 - c. Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Department of the Army, for the Department of the Army
 - d. Assistant Chief of Naval Operations (Intelligence), for the Department of the Navy
 - e. Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence, USAF, for the Department of the Air
 - f. Director of Intelligence, AEC, for the Atomic Energy Commission
 - g. Assistant Director, FBI, for the Federal Bureau of Investigation
 - h. Director of NSA, for the National Security Agency
 - i. Director of National Estimates, CIA, for any other Department or Agency
- 2. This document may be retained, or destroyed by burning in accordance with applicable security regulations, or returned to the Central Intelligence Agency by arrangement with the Office of National Estimates, CIA.
- 3. When this document is disseminated overseas, the overseas recipients may retain it for a period not in excess of one year. At the end of this period, the document should either be destroyed, returned to the forwarding agency, or permission should be requested of the forwarding agency to retain it in accordance with IAC-D-69/2, 22 June 1953.
- 4. The title of this document when used separately from the text should be classified: SECRET

DISTRIBUTION:

White House
National Security Council
Department of State
Department of Defense
Atomic Energy Commission
Federal Bureau of Investigation